City of Detroit

CITY COUNCIL

FISCAL ANALYSIS DIVISION
Coleman A. Young Municipal Center
2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 218
Detroit, Michigan 48226
FAX: (313) 224-2783
E-Mail: invin@cncl.cl.detroit.mi.us

ANNE MARIE LANGAN DEPUTY DIRECTOR (313) 224-1078

TO:

Tyrone U. Miller, Director Board of Zoning Appeals

IRVIN CORLEY, JR.

DIRECTOR

(313) 224-1076

FROM:

Irvin Corley, Jr., Fiscal Analysis Director

74.

DATE:

April 29, 2009

RE:

2009-2010 Budget Analysis

Attached is our budget analysis regarding your department's budget for the upcoming 2009-2010 Fiscal Year.

Please be prepared to respond to the issues/questions raised in our analysis during your scheduled hearing on **Friday, May 8, 2009 at 10:00 a.m.** We would then appreciate a written response to the issues/questions at your earliest convenience subsequent to your budget hearing. Please forward a copy of your responses to the Councilmembers and the City Clerk's Office.

Please contact us if you have any questions regarding our budget analysis.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

IC:ss

Attachment

CC:

Councilmembers

Council Divisions

Auditor General's Office

Pamela Scales, Budget Department Director

Joseph Harris, Chief Financial Officer

Ron Chenault, Budget Department Team Leader

Arese Robinson, Mayor's Office

I:\09-10 BUDGET\DAILIES-FINAL\AG\BZA.doc

Board of Zoning Appeals (51)

FY 2009-2010 Budget Analysis by the Fiscal Analysis Division

<u>Summary</u>

The Board of Zoning Appeals is a General Fund Agency. The Mayor's Proposed Budget for 2009-2010 includes appropriations of \$800,484, which is a decrease of \$80,646 or 9.0% from fiscal year 2008-2009. The Mayor's Proposed Budget for 2009-2010 includes revenues of \$105,000, which is the amount that was budgeted in fiscal year 2008-2009. The department's net tax cost recommended for next year is \$695,484, which is \$80,646 less than the budgeted net tax, cost for the current year.

2008-2009 Surplus/(Deficit)

The estimated surplus for the Board of Zoning Appeals is \$65,000 for fiscal year 2008-2009 based on appropriation surplus of \$80,500 based on vacancy, offset by revenue shortfall of \$15,000.

Board of Zoning Appeals (51)

Budgeted Professional and	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	Increase
Contractual Services by Activity	Budget	Recommended	(Decrease)
Land Use Controls	\$ 105,500	\$ 105,500	<u>\$</u>
Total	<u>\$ 105,500</u>	\$ 105,500	<u>s</u>

Overtime

The Mayor's Proposed Budget for fiscal year 2009-2010 does not recommend any overtime. As of March 31, 2009, the Department did not expend any amount for overtime.

Personnel and Turnover Savings

There are no projected personnel or turnover savings for this department.

			Mayor's		
	Redbook	Filled	Budget	Over/(Under)	Mayor's
	Positions	Positions	Positions	Actual to	Recommended
Appropriation/Program	FY 2008-09	3/31/2009	FY 2009-10	08/09 Budget	<u>Turnover</u>
Zoning Appeals Board (51):					
00183 Land Use Controls	6	5	5	(1)	\$ -
51XXXX Unmatched Positions	<u>0</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>7</u>	\$
TOTAL	<u>6</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>\$</u>

Proposed Layoffs and Position Changes

The Mayor's Proposed Budget for 2009-2010 recommends that one of the six positions adopted in the 2008-2009 budget, which is a vacancy, be eliminated.

Significant Funding by Appropriation

Appro. Program

00813 Land Use Controls The Mayor's Proposed Budget for 2009-2010

includes \$800,484. This reflects a decrease of \$80,646 (9.0%) from the 2008-2009 Budget of

\$881,130.

Significant Revenues by Appropriation and Source

Appro. Program

00183 Land Use Controls There is no change in the amount budgeted for

revenues. In fiscal year 2008-2009, \$105,000 was budgeted, and the same amount is budgeted

in fiscal year 2009-2010.

Issues and Questions

- 1. In the Major Initiatives for FY 2008-2009, how many comprehensive amendments will the city's new Zoning Ordinance have that impacts the BZA? Please explain them.
- 2. Since streamlining the procedures for processing appeals cases, how many more appeal cases been received and processed?
- 3. Since the upgrade of the digital cameras and proxima projector has made major improvements in the presentations at public hearings, how are appeal cases affected by the presentations? What are the results?
- 4. Will the new computer database, designed to improve tracking and processing documents relative to board cases, be implemented within the next six to nine months?
- 5. Would the reduction of the one vacant position impact the BZA's ability to generate the same level of revenue as budgeted in the current fiscal year?